arXiv:1701.03545v1 [math.CA] 13 Jan 2017

APPROXIMATION NUMBERS OF SOBOLEV AND GEVREY TYPE EMBEDDINGS ON THE SPHERE AND ON THE BALL – PREASYMPTOTICS, ASYMPTOTICS, AND TRACTABILITY

JIA CHEN, HEPING WANG

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate optimal linear approximations (n-approximation numbers) of the embeddings from the Sobolev spaces H^r ($r > 0$) for various equivalent norms and the Gevrey type spaces $G^{\alpha,\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta > 0$) on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on the ball $\mathbb{B}^d$, where the approximation error is measured in the L_2-norm. We obtain preasymptotics, asymptotics, and strong equivalences of the above approximation numbers as a function in n and the dimension d. We emphasis that all equivalence constants in the above preasymptotics and asymptotics are independent of the dimension d and n. As a consequence we obtain that for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^r \to L_2$ are weakly tractable if and only if $r > 1$, not uniformly weakly tractable, and do not suffer from the curse of dimensionality. We also prove that for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, the approximation problems $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta} \to L_2$ are uniformly weakly tractable, not polynomially tractable, and quasi-polynomially tractable if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to investigating the behavior of the approximation numbers of embeddings of Sobolev spaces and Gevrey type spaces on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on the ball $\mathbb{B}^d$ into L_2. The approximation numbers of a bounded linear operator $T : X \to Y$ between two Banach spaces are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(T : X \to Y) :&= \inf_{rankA<n} \sup_{\|x|X\|\leq 1} \|Tx - Ax|Y\| \\ &= \inf_{rankA<n} \|T - A : X \to Y\|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. They describe the best approximation of T by finite rank operators. If X and Y are Hilbert spaces and T is compact, then $a_n(T)$ is the nth singular number of T. Also $a_n(T)$ is the nth minimal worst-case error with respect to arbitrary algorithms and general information in the Hilbert setting.

On the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$, there are many results concerning asymptotics of the approximation numbers of smooth function spaces, see the monographs [21] by Temlyakov and the references therein. However, the obtained asymptotics often hide dependencies on the dimension d in the constants, and can only be seen after "waiting exponentially long ($n \geq 2^d$)" if d is large. In order to overcome this deficiency, Kühn and other authors obtained preasymptotics and asymptotics of the approximation numbers of the classical isotropic Sobolev spaces, Sobolev spaces of dominating

Key words and phrases. Approximation number; Sobolev spaces; Gevrey type spaces, preasymptotics and asymptotics; tractability.

mixed smoothness, periodic Gevrey spaces, and anisotropic Sobolev spaces (see [10, 11, 9, 2]). Note that in these preasymptotics and asymptotics, the equivalence constants are independent of the dimension d and n.

On the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on the ball $\mathbb{B}^d$, the following two-sided estimates can be found in [7] and [22] in a slightly more general setting:

$$C_1(r,d)n^{-r/d} \le a_n(I_d : H^r(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \le C_2(r,d)n^{-r/d},\ n \in \mathbb{N}_+, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$C_3(r,d)n^{-r/d} \le a_n(I_d : H^r(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) \le C_4(r,d)n^{-r/d},\ n \in \mathbb{N}_+, \tag{1.2}$$

where I_d is the identity (embedding) operator, $H^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $H^r(\mathbb{B}^d)$ are the Sobolev spaces on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on the ball $\mathbb{B}^d$, the constants $C_i(r,d), i = 1,2,3,4$, only depending on the smoothness index r and the dimension d, were not explicitly determined.

In the paper we discuss preasymptotics and asymptotics of the approximation numbers of the embeddings I_d of the Sobolev spaces H^r $(r > 0)$ and the Gevrey type spaces $G^{\alpha,\beta}$ $(\alpha, \beta > 0)$ on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on the ball $\mathbb{B}^d$ into L_2. We remark that the Gevrey type spaces have a long history and have been used in numerous problems related to partial differential equations.

Our main focus in this paper is to clarify, for arbitrary but fixed $r > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, the dependence of these approximation numbers $a_n(I_d)$ on d. In fact, it is necessary to fix the norms on the spaces H^r on $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on $\mathbb{B}^d$ in advance, since the constants $C_i(r,d),\ i = 1,2,3,4$ in (1.1) and (1.2) depend on the size of the respective unit balls. Surprisingly, for a collection of quite natural norms of H^r (see Sections 2.1 and 6.1), and for sufficiently large n, say $n \ge 2^d$, it turns out that the optimal constants decay polynomially in d, i.e.,

$$C_1(r,d) \asymp_r C_2(r,d) \asymp_r C_3(r,d) \asymp_r C_4(r,d) \asymp_r d^{-r},$$

where $A \asymp B$ means that there exist two constants c and C which are called the equivalence constants such that $cA \le B \le CA$, and $\asymp_r$ indicates that the equivalence constants depend only on r. This means that on $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on $\mathbb{B}^d$, for $n \ge 2^d$,

$$a_n(I_d : H^r \to L_2) \asymp_r d^{-r} n^{-r/d},$$

where the equivalence constants are independent of d and n. We also show that on $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on $\mathbb{B}^d$, for $n \ge 2^d$,

$$\ln(a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta} \to L_2)) \asymp_\alpha -\beta d^\alpha n^{\alpha/d},$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on α, but not on d and n.

Specially, we prove that the limits

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{r/d} a_n(I_d : H^r(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = \Big(\frac{2}{d!}\Big)^{r/d}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{r/d} a_n(I_d : H^r(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) = \Big(\frac{1}{d!}\Big)^{r/d}$$

exist, having the same value for various norms. We also prove that for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma = \left(\frac{2}{d!}\right)^{-\alpha/d}$, $\tilde{\gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{d!}\right)^{-\alpha/d}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\gamma n^{\alpha/d}} a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = 1.$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\tilde{\gamma} n^{\alpha/d}} a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) = 1.$$

For small $n, 1 \le n \le 2^d$, we also determine explicitly how these approximation numbers $a_n(I_d)$ behave preasymptotically. We emphasize that the preasymptotic behavior of $a_n(I_d)$ is completely different from its asymptotic behavior. For example, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) &\asymp_r a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) \\ &\asymp_r \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, \\ d^{-r/2}, & 2 \le n \le d, \\ d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}, & d \le n \le 2^d, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ln\big(a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))\big) &\asymp_\alpha \ln\big(a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))\big) \\ &\asymp_\alpha -\beta \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \le n \le d, \\ \Big(\frac{\log n}{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}\Big)^{\alpha}, & d \le n \le 2^d, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on r or α, but not on d and n. Here "$*$" stands for a specific (but natural) norm in H^r on $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on $\mathbb{B}^d$.

Finally we consider tractability results for the approximation problems of the Sobolev embeddings and the Gevrey type embeddings on $\mathbb{S}^d$ and on $\mathbb{B}^d$. Based on the asymptotic and preasymptotic behavior of $a_n(I_d : H^r \to L_2)$ and $a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta} \to L_2)$, we show that for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^r \to L_2$ are weakly tractable if and only if $r > 1$, not uniformly weakly tractable, and do not suffer from the curse of dimensionality. We also prove that for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, the approximation problems $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta} \to L_2$ are uniformly weakly tractable, not polynomially tractable, and quasi-polynomially tractable if and only if $\alpha \ge 1$ and the exponent of quasi-polynomial tractability is

$$t^{\mathrm{qpol}} = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{m}{1+\beta m^{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \ge 1.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1 we give definitions of the Sobolev spaces with various equivalent norms and the Gevrey type spaces on the sphere. Section 2.2 is devoted to some basics on the approximation numbers on the sphere. In Section 3, we study strong equivalence of the approximation numbers $a_n(I_d : H^r(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))$ and $a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))$. Section 4 contains results concerning preasymptotics and asymptotics of the above approximation numbers. Section 5 transfers our approximation results into the tractability ones of the respective approximation problems. In the final Section 6, we obtain the corresponding results on $\mathbb{B}^d$ such as strong equivalence, preasymptotics and asymptotics of the approximation numbers $a_n(I_d : H^r(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))$ and $a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))$, and tractability of the respective approximation problems.

2. Preliminaries on the sphere

2.1. **Sobolev spaces and Gevrey type spaces on the sphere.**

Let $\mathbb{S}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : |x| = 1\}$ $(d \ge 2)$ be the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ (with $|x|$ denoting the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$) endowed with the rotationally invariant

measure σ normalized by $\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} d\sigma = 1$. Denote by $L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ the collection of real measurable functions f on $\mathbb{S}^d$ with finite norm

$$\|f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(x)|^2 \, d\sigma(x) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_\ell^d$ the space of all spherical harmonics of degree l on $\mathbb{S}^d$. Denote by $\Pi_m^{d+1}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ the set of spherical polynomials on $\mathbb{S}^d$ of degree $\le m$, which is just the set of polynomials of degree $\le m$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ restricted to $\mathbb{S}^d$. It is well known (see [3, Corollaries 1.1.4 and 1.1.5]) that the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_\ell^d$ is

$$Z(d,\ell) := \dim \mathcal{H}_\ell^d = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ \ell = 0, \\ \frac{(2\ell+d-1)\,(\ell+d-2)!}{(d-1)!\ l!}, & \text{if } \ \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$C(d,m) := \dim \Pi_m^{d+1}(\mathbb{S}^d) = \frac{(2m+d)(m+d-1)!}{m!\, d!}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let

$$\{Y_{\ell,k} \equiv Y_{\ell,k}^d \mid k = 1, \ldots, Z(d,\ell)\}$$

be a fixed orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_\ell^d$. Then

$$\{Y_{\ell,k} \mid k = 1, \ldots, Z(d,\ell), \ \ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Thus any $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ can be expressed by its Fourier (or Laplace) series

$$f = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} H_\ell(f) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} \langle f, Y_{\ell,k} \rangle Y_{\ell,k},$$

where $H_\ell^d(f) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} \langle f, Y_{\ell,k} \rangle Y_{\ell,k}, \ \ell = 0, 1, \ldots,$ denote the orthogonal projections of f onto $\mathcal{H}_\ell^d$, and

$$\langle f, Y_{\ell,k} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(x) Y_{\ell,k}(x) \, d\sigma(x)$$

are the Fourier coefficients of f. We have the following Parseval equality:

$$\|f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} |\langle f, Y_{\ell,k} \rangle|^2 \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence, and let T^Λ be a multiplier operator on $L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ defined by

$$T^\Lambda(f) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda_\ell H_\ell(f) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda_\ell \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} \langle f, Y_{\ell,k} \rangle Y_{\ell,k}.$$

Let $SO(d+1)$ be the special rotation group of order $d+1$, i.e., the set of all rotation on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. For any $\rho \in SO(d+1)$ and $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$, we define $\rho(f)(x) = f(\rho x)$. It is well known (see [3, Proposition 2.2.9]) that a bounded linear operator T on $L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is a multiplier operator if and only if $T\rho = \rho T$ for any $\rho \in SO(d+1)$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a non-increasing positive sequence with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k = 0$. We define the multiplier space $H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ by*

$$H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d) := \Big\{ T^{\Lambda} f \,\Big|\, f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d) \text{ and } \|T^{\Lambda} f \,\big|\, H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \|f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\| < \infty \Big\}$$
$$:= \Big\{ f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d) \,\Big|\, \|f \,\big|\, H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| := \Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_\ell^2} \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} |\langle f, Y_{\ell,k}\rangle|^2 \Big)^{1/2} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Clearly, the multiplier space $H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_\ell^2} \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} \langle f, Y_{\ell,k}\rangle \langle g, Y_{\ell,k}\rangle.$$

We remark that Sobolev spaces and Gevrey type spaces on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ are special multiplier spaces whose definitions are given as follows.

Definition 2.2. *Let $r > 0$ and $\square \in \{*, +, \#, -\}$. The Sobolev space $H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is the collection of all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ such that*

$$\|f \,\big|\, H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (r_{\ell,d}^{\square})^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} |\langle f, Y_{\ell,k}\rangle|^2 \Big)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where

$$r_{\ell,d}^{*} = (1 + (\ell(\ell+d-1))^r)^{-1/2}, \quad r_{\ell,d}^{+} = (1 + \ell(\ell+d-1))^{-r/2},$$
$$r_{\ell,d}^{\#} = (1+\ell)^{-r}, \qquad\qquad r_{\ell,d}^{-} = (\ell + (d-1)/2)^{-r}, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

If we set $\Lambda^{\square} = \{r_{k,d}^{\square}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, then the Sobolev space $H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is just the multiplier space $H^{\Lambda^{\square}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$.

Remark 2.3. We note that the above four Sobolev norms are equivalent with the equivalence constants depending on d and r. The natural Sobolev space on the sphere is $H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d)$. The Sobolev space $H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is given in [1, Definition 3.23].

Remark 2.4. Let $\triangle$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere. It is well known that the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\ell^d,\ \ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, are just the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $-\ell(\ell+d-1)$ of the operator $\triangle$. Given $s > 0$, we define the s-th (fractional) order Laplace-Beltrami operator $(-\triangle)^s$ on $\mathbb{S}^d$ in a distributional sense by

$$H_0((-\triangle)^s(f)) = 0, \quad H_\ell((-\triangle)^s(f)) = (\ell(\ell+d-1))^s H_\ell(f), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \ldots\ ,$$

where f is a distribution on $\mathbb{S}^d$. We can define $(I - \triangle)^s,\ (((d-1)/2)^2 I - \triangle)^s$ analogously, where I is the identity operator. For $r > 0$ and $f \in H^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$, we have the following equalities.

$$\|f \,\big|\, H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\|f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\|^2 + \|(-\triangle)^{r/2} f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\|^2 \Big)^{1/2},$$
$$\|f \,\big|\, H^{r,+}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\|(I - \triangle)^{r/2} f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\|^2 \Big)^{1/2},$$
$$\|f \,\big|\, H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\|(((d-1)/2)^2 I - \triangle)^{r/2} f|L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)\|^2 \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 2.5. *Let $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$. The Gevrey type space $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is the collection of all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ such that*

$$\|f \,\big|\, G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d)\| = \Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{2\beta\ell^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{Z(d,\ell)} |\langle f, Y_{\ell,k}\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

If we set $\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = \{e^{-\beta k^\alpha}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, then the Gevrey type space $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is just the multiplier space $H^{\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$.

Remark 2.6. M. Gevrey [5] introduced in 1918 the classes of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that are nowadays called Gevrey classes. They have played an important role in study of partial differential equation. A standard reference on Gevrey spaces is Rodinos book [18]. The Gevrey spaces on d-dimensional torus were introduced and investigated in [9]. Our definition is a natural generalization from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{S}^d$. When $d = 1$, the unit ball of $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ recedes to the class $A_q^{\tau,b}$ with $q = 2,\ b = \alpha$ and $\tau = \beta$ introduced in [21, p. 73]. When $\alpha = 1$, the action of the Gevrey type multiplier $T^{\Lambda_{1,\beta}}$ on $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is just the Possion integral of f on the sphere (see [3, pp. 34-35]).

2.2. **Approximation numbers.**

Let H and G be two Hilbert spaces and S be a compact linear operator from H to G. The fact concerning the approximation numbers $a_n(S : H \to G)$ is well-known, see e.g. König [8, Section 1.b], Pinkus [17, Theorem IV.2.2], and Novak and Woźniakowski [12, Corollary 4.12]. The following lemma is a simple form of the above fact.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ an orthonormal basis in H, and $\tau = \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of positive numbers with $\tau_1 \ge \tau_2 \ge \cdots \ge \tau_k \ge \cdots$. Let H^τ be a Hilbert space defined by

$$H^\tau = \Big\{x \in H \mid \|x|H\| = \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(x, e_k)|^2}{\tau_k^2}\Big)^{1/2} < \infty\Big\}.$$

According to [17, Corollary 2.6] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let H, τ and H^τ be defined as above. Then*

$$a_n(I_d : H^\tau \to H) = \tau_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$

In the sequel, we always suppose that $\Lambda = \{\lambda_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a non-increasing positive sequence with $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \lambda_{k,d} = 0$. It follows from Definition 2.1 that the mutiplier space $H^\Lambda(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is of form $H^\tau = (L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))^\tau$ with

$$\{\tau_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} = \{\lambda_{0,d}, \underbrace{\lambda_{1,d}, \cdots, \lambda_{1,d}}_{Z(d,1)}, \underbrace{\lambda_{2,d}, \cdots, \lambda_{2,d}}_{Z(d,2)}, \cdots, \underbrace{\lambda_{k,d}, \cdots, \lambda_{k,d}}_{Z(d,k)}, \cdots\},$$

where $Z(d, m)$ and $C(d, m)$ are given in (2.1) and (2.2). According to Lemma 2.7 we obtain

Theorem 2.8. *For $C(d, k-1) < n \le C(d, k),\ k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$ we have*

$$a_n(T^\Lambda : L_2(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = a_n(I_d : H^\Lambda(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = \lambda_{k,d},$$

where we set $C(d, -1) = 0$.

Specially, let $r>0$, $\square\in\{*,+,\#,-\}$, *and* $0<\alpha,\beta<\infty$. *Then for* $C(d,k-1)<n\le C(d,k),\ k=0,1,2,\dots,$ *we have*

$$a_n(I_d: H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))=r^{\square}_{k,d}, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$a_n(I_d: G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))=e^{-\beta k^{\alpha}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the definitions of $H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $r^{\square}_{k,d}$, $\square\in\{*,+,\#,-\}$ *are given in Definition 2.2, and the definition of* $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ *is in Definition 2.5.*

3. Strong equivalences of approximation numbers

This section is devoted to giving strong equivalence of the approximation numbers of the Sobolev embeddings and the Gevrey type embeddings on the sphere.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\lambda_{k,d}k^s=1$ *for some* $s>0$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{s/d}a_n(I_d: H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))=\Big(\frac{2}{d\,!}\Big)^{s/d}, \tag{3.1}$$

Specially, for $r>0$ *and* $\square\in\{*,+,\#,-\}$, *we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{r/d}a_n(I_d: H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))=\Big(\frac{2}{d\,!}\Big)^{r/d}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. For $C(d,k-1)<n\le C(d,k),\ k=0,1,2,\dots,$ we have

$$a_n(I_d: H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))=\lambda_{k,d}.$$

It follows that

$$(C(d,k-1))^{s/d}\lambda_{k,d}<n^{s/d}a_n(I_d: H^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))\le (C(d,k))^{s/d}\lambda_{k,d}.$$

We recall from (2.2) that

$$C(d,k)=\frac{(2k+d)(k+d-1)\,!}{k\,!\,d\,!},$$

which yields that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{C(d,k)}{k^d}=\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{C(d,k-1)}{k^d}=\frac{2}{d\,!}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}(C(d,k-1))^{s/d}\lambda_{k,d}&=\lim_{k\to\infty}(C(d,k))^{s/d}\lambda_{k,d}\\ &=\lim_{k\to\infty}\Big(\frac{C(d,k)}{k^d}\Big)^{s/d}k^s\lambda_{k,d}=\Big(\frac{2}{d\,!}\Big)^{s/d},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain (3.1). Theorem 3.1 is proved. □

Remark 3.2. One can rephrase (3.2) as strong equivalences

$$a_n(I_d: H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))\sim n^{-r/d}\Big(\frac{2}{d\,!}\Big)^{r/d}$$

for $r>0$ and $\square\in\{*,+,\#,-\}$. The novelty of Theorems 3.1 is that they give strong equivalences of $a_n(I_d: H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))$ and provide asymptotically optimal constants, for arbitrary fixed d and $r>0$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta > 0$, and $\gamma = \left(\frac{2}{d!}\right)^{-\alpha/d}$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\gamma n^{\alpha/d}} a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.4) that for $C(d,k-1) < n \le C(d,k),\ k = 0,1,2,\dots,$

$$a_n \equiv a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = e^{-\beta k^\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$e^{\beta\gamma(C(d,k-1))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha} < e^{\beta\gamma n^{\alpha/d}} a_n \le e^{\beta\gamma(C(d,k))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (\gamma(C(d,k))^{\alpha/d} - k^\alpha) = \lim_{k\to\infty} k^\alpha \Big(\Big(\big(1 + \frac{d}{2k}\big) \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} (1 + \frac{j}{k}) \Big)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - 1 \Big) = 0,$$

we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\gamma(C(d,k))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha} = e^{\beta \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} (\gamma(C(d,k))^{\alpha/d} - k^\alpha)} = 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\gamma(C(d,k-1))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha} = 1,$$

which combining with (3.5) and (3.4), yields (3.3). Theorem 3.3 is proved. □

Remark 3.4. One can rephrase (3.3) as a strong equivalence

$$a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \sim e^{-\beta\gamma n^{\alpha/d}}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$, where $\gamma = \left(\frac{2}{d!}\right)^{-\alpha/d}$. The novelty of Theorems 3.3 is that they give a strong equivalence of $a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))$ and provide asymptotically optimal constants, for arbitrary fixed d, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\beta > 0$.

Remark 3.5. For $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\gamma(C(d,k-1))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha} = e^{\frac{\beta(d-1)^2}{2d}} \ne e^{\frac{\beta d}{2}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\gamma(C(d,k))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha},$$

which means that the strong equivalence

$$a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \sim e^{-\beta\gamma n^{\alpha/d}}$$

does not hold. However, we have the weak equivalence

$$a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp e^{-\beta(nd!/2)^{1/d}}, \tag{3.6}$$

where the equivalence constants may depend on d, but not on n.

For $\alpha > 1$, there seems even no weak asymptotics of $a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))$ as (3.6).

4. Preasymptotics and asymptotics of the approximation numbers

This section is devoted to giving preasymptotics and asymptotics of the approximation numbers of the Sobolev embeddings and the Gevrey type embeddings on the sphere.

Lemma 4.1. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ we have*

(4.1)

$$\max\Big\{\big(1+\frac{m}{d}\big)^d,\, \big(1+\frac{d}{m}\big)^m\Big\} \le C(d,m) \le \min\Big\{e^d\big(1+\frac{m}{d}\big)^d,\, e^m\big(1+\frac{d}{m}\big)^m\Big\}.$$

Proof. We note that

$$\binom{m+d}{d} \le C(d,m) = \binom{m+d}{d}\frac{2m+d}{m+d} \le 2\binom{m+d}{d}.$$

Using the inequality (see [10, (3.6)])

$$\binom{m+d}{d} \le e^{d-1}\big(1+\frac{m}{d}\big)^d,$$

we get the upper estimate of $C(d,m)$. Using the inequality (see [10, (3.5)])

$$\max\Big\{\big(1+\frac{m}{d}\big)^d,\, \big(1+\frac{d}{m}\big)^m\Big\} \le \binom{m+d}{d},$$

we get the lower estimate of $C(d,m)$. Lemma 4.1 is proved. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $r > 0$. We have*

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, \\ d^{-r/2}, & 2 \le n \le d, \\ d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}, & d \le n \le 2^d, \\ d^{-r}n^{-r/d}, & n \ge 2^d, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on r, but not on d and n.

Proof. We have for $n = 1$,

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = 1.$$

For $2 \le n \le C(d,1) = d+2$, we have

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = r^*_{1,d} = (1+d^r)^{-1/2} \asymp d^{-r/2}.$$

This means that

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp d^{-r/2} \asymp \begin{cases} d^{-r/2}, & 2 \le n \le d, \\ d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}, & d \le n \le C(d,1). \end{cases}$$

For $C(d,m-1) < n \le C(d,m),\ 2 \le m \le d$, we have

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = (1+(m(m+d-1))^r)^{-1/2} \asymp m^{-r/2}d^{-r/2}. \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.1) we get that

$$n \le C(d,m) \le e^m(1+d/m)^m \le \Big(\frac{2ed}{m}\Big)^m.$$

It follows that

$$\log n \le m\log(2ed/m),$$

which implies

$$m \geq \frac{\log n}{\log(2ed/m)} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\log\Big(\frac{2ed}{\log n}\Big) \geq \log\Big(\frac{2ed}{m\log(2ed/m)}\Big) = \log(\frac{2ed}{m}) - \log\Big(\log(\frac{2ed}{m})\Big).$$

Using the inequality $x \geq 2\log x$ for $x \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\log\Big(\frac{2ed}{\log n}\Big) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{2ed}{m}).$$

This combining with (4.4) yields

$$m \geq \frac{\log n}{2\log(2ed/(\log n))}. \tag{4.5}$$

On other hand, it follows from (4.1) that

$$n > C(d, m-1) \geq \Big(1 + \frac{d}{m-1}\Big)^{m-1}.$$

This yields

$$m - 1 \leq \frac{\log n}{\log\big(1 + \frac{d}{m-1}\big)} \leq \log n.$$

It follows that

$$m \leq \frac{\log n}{\log\big(\frac{2d}{\log n}\big)} + 1,$$

which combining with (4.5), leads to

$$m \asymp \frac{\log n}{1 + \log\big(\frac{d}{\log n}\big)}. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from (4.3) that for $C(d, m-1) < n \leq C(d, m),\ 2 \leq m \leq d$,

$$a_n(I_d :\ H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp m^{-r/2}d^{-r/2} \asymp d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1 + \frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}.$$

Note that

$$2^d \leq C(d, d) \leq (2e)^d$$

and for $2^d \leq n \leq (2e)^d$,

$$\frac{\log n}{\log(1 + \frac{d}{\log n})} \asymp d \ \text{ and } \ n^{-r/d} \asymp 1.$$

We obtain that for $C(d, 1) < n \leq C(d, d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(I_d :\ H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) &\asymp d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1 + \frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2} \\ &\asymp \begin{cases} d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}, & d \leq n \leq 2^d, \\ d^{-r}n^{-r/d}, & 2^d \leq n \leq C(d, d). \end{cases} \end{aligned}.$$

For $C(d, m-1) < n \leq C(d, m),\ m > d$, we have

$$a_n(I_d :\ H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = (1 + (m(m+d-1))^r)^{-1/2} \asymp m^{-r}. \tag{4.7}$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$\frac{m}{d} \le 1+\frac{m-1}{d} \le (C(d,m-1))^{\frac{1}{d}} \le n^{\frac{1}{d}} \le (C(d,m))^{\frac{1}{d}} \le e(1+\frac{m}{d}) \le \frac{2em}{d}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$m \asymp dn^{1/d}, \tag{4.8}$$

which combining with (4.7) yields that for $n > C(d,d)$,

$$a_n(I_d:\, H^{r,*}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp d^{-r}n^{-r/d}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is complete. □

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $r > 0$. We have*

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,+}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \begin{cases} 1, & n=1, \\ d^{-r/2}, & 2 \le n \le d, \\ d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}, & d \le n \le 2^d, \\ d^{-r}n^{-r/d}, & n \ge 2^d, \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,\#}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \le n \le d, \\ \Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r}, & d \le n \le 2^d, \\ d^{-r}n^{-r/d}, & n \ge 2^d, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \begin{cases} d^{-r}, & 1 \le n \le 2^d, \\ d^{-r}n^{-r/d}, & n \ge 2^d, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where all above equivalence constants depend only on r, but not on d and n.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. We have*

$$\ln\big(a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d))\big) \asymp -\beta \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \le n \le d, \\ \Big(\frac{\log n}{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}\Big)^{\alpha}, & d \le n \le 2^d, \\ d^{\alpha}n^{\alpha/d}, & n \ge 2^d, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on α, but not on d and n.

5. Tractability analysis

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in d-variate computational problems with large or even huge d. Such problems are usually solved by algorithms that use finitely many information operations. In this paper, we limit ourselves to the worst case setting, information operation is defined as the evaluation of a continuous linear functional, and we deal with a Hilbert space setting (source and target space). The information complexity $n(\varepsilon, d)$ is defined as the minimal number of information operations which are needed to find an approximating solution to within an error threshold ε. A central issue is the study of how the information complexity depends on ε^{-1} and d. Such problem is called the tractable problem. Nowadays tractability of multivariate problems is a very active research area (see [12, 13, 14] and the references therein).

Let H_d and G_d be two sequences of Hilbert spaces and for each $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$, F_d be the unit ball of H_d. Assume a sequence of bounded linear operators (solution operators)

$$S_d : H_d \to G_d$$

for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $f \in F_d$, $S_d f$ can be approximated by algorithms

$$A_{n,d}(f) = \Phi_{n,d}(L_1(f), ..., L_n(f)),$$

where $L_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, n$ are continuous linear functionals on F_d which are called general information, and $\Phi_{n,d} : \mathbb{R}^n \to G_d$ is an arbitrary mapping. The worst case error $e(A_{n,d})$ of the algorithm $A_{n,d}$ is defined as

$$e(A_{n,d}) = \sup_{f \in F_d} \|S_d(f) - A_{n,d}(f)\|_{G_d}.$$

Furthermore, we define the nth minimal worst-case error as

$$e(n, d) = \inf_{A_{n,d}} e(A_{n,d}),$$

where the infimum is taken over all algorithms using n information operators $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n$. For $n = 0$, we use $A_{0,d} = 0$. The error of $A_{0,d}$ is called the initial error and is given by

$$e(0, d) = \sup_{f \in F_d} \|S_d f\|_{G_d}.$$

The nth minimal worst-case error $e(n, d)$ with respect to arbitrary algorithms and general information in the Hilbert setting is just the $n + 1$-approximation number $a_{n+1}(S_d : H_d \to G_d)$ (see [12, p. 118]), i.e.,

$$e(n, d) = a_{n+1}(S_d : H_d \to G_d).$$

In this paper, we consider the embedding operators $S_d = I_d$ (formal identity operators). For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let $n(\varepsilon, d)$ be the information complexity which is defined as the minimal number of continuous linear functionals which are necessary to obtain an $\varepsilon-$approximation of I_d, i.e.,

$$n(\varepsilon, d) = \min\{n \,|\, e(n, d) \le \varepsilon CRI_d\},$$

where

$$CRI_d = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for the absolute error criterion,} \\ e(0, d), & \text{for the normalized error criterion.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we list the concepts of tractability below. We say that the approximation problem is

• **weakly tractable**, if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon^{-1}+d \to \infty} \frac{\ln n(\varepsilon, d)}{\varepsilon^{-1} + d} = 0.$$

Otherwise, the approximation problem is called intractable.

• **uniformly weakly tractable**, if for all $s, t > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon^{-1}+d \to \infty} \frac{\ln n(\varepsilon, d)}{(\varepsilon^{-1})^s + d^t} = 0.$$

• **quasi-polynomially tractable**, if there exist two positive constants C, t such that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \le C \exp(t(1 + \ln \varepsilon^{-1})(1 + \ln d)). \tag{5.1}$$

The infimum of t satisfying (5.1) is called the exponent of quasi-polynomial tractability and is denoted by t^{qpol}.

• **polynomially tractable**, if there exist non-negative numbers C, p and q such that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ \varepsilon \in (0,1)$,

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \le C d^q (\varepsilon^{-1})^p. \tag{5.2}$$

• **strongly polynomially tractable**, if there exist non-negative numbers C and p such that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ \varepsilon \in (0,1)$,

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \le C (\varepsilon^{-1})^p.$$

Of course, the latter tractability implies the former tractability.

• The approximation problem suffers from **the curse of dimensionality**, if there exist positive numbers C, ε_0, γ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ and infinitely many $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \ge C(1+\gamma)^d.$$

Recently, Siedlecki and Weimar introduced the notion of (s,t)-weak tractability in [20]. If for some fixed $s,\ t > 0$ it holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon^{-1}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln n(\varepsilon, d)}{(\varepsilon^{-1})^s + d^t} = 0,$$

then the approximation problem is called (s,t)-weakly tractable. Clearly, $(1,1)$-weak tractability is just weak tractability, whereas the approximation problem is uniformly weakly tractable if it is (s,t)-weakly tractable for all positive s and t (see [19]). Also, if the approximation problem suffers from the curse of dimensionality, then for any $s > 0,\ 0 < t \le 1$, it is not (s,t)-weakly tractable.

We introduce the following lemma which is used in the proofs of main results.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $m, d \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ s > 0,\ t > 1$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{m \ln(m+d)}{m^t + d^s} = \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{d \ln(m+d)}{m^s + d^t} = 0.$$

Proof. We set $\gamma = s/t$. Let $x = m + d^\gamma$. Then $m + d \to \infty$ if and only if $x \to \infty$. If $\gamma \ge 1$, then $m + d \le x$, and if $\gamma < 1$, then

$$m + d \le m^{1/\gamma} + d \le (m + d^\gamma)^{1/\gamma} = x^{1/\gamma}.$$

It follows that

$$m \ln(m+d) \le \max\{1, 1/\gamma\} x \ln x.$$

Using the inequality

$$(a+b)^p \le 2^p (a^p + b^p),\ a, b \ge 0, p > 0,$$

we get

$$m^t + d^s \ge 2^{-t} (m + d^{s/t})^t = 2^{-t} x^t.$$

Hence, we have

$$0 \le \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{m \ln(m+d)}{m^t + d^s} \le \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\max\{1, 1/\gamma\} x \ln x}{2^{-t} x^t} = 0,$$

which means that

$$\lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{m \ln(m+d)}{m^t + d^s} = 0.$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{d\ln(m+d)}{m^s+d^t} = 0.$$

Lemma 5.1 is proved. □

We remark that if $e(0,d) = \|I_d\| = 1$, then the normalized error criterion and the absolute error criterion coincide. We emphasize that

$$e(0,d) = 1$$

for the approximation problems

$$I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d), \quad r > 0, \ \square \in \{*, +, \#\},$$

and

$$I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d), \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

However, we have

$$e(0,d) = \big(\frac{d-1}{2}\big)^{-r}$$

for the approximation problems

$$I_d : H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d), \quad r > 0.$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $r > 0$ and $s, t > 0$. Then*

(1) the approximation problems

$$I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d), \quad \square \in \{*, +, \#\}$$

and for the absolute error criterion the approximation problem

$$I_d : H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$$

are (s,t)-weakly tractable if and only if $r > 1/s$ and $t > 0$ or $s > 0$ and $t > 1$.

Specially, for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d), \ \square \in \{*, +, \#, -\}$ are weakly tractable if and only if $r > 1$, not uniformly weakly tractable, and do not suffer from the curse of dimensionality.

(2) for the normalized error criterion, the approximation problem

$$I_d : H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$$

suffers from the curse of dimensionality.

Proof. (1) First we show that for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ are not (s,t)-weakly tractable if $0 < s \le 1/r$ and $0 < t \le 1$, where $r > 0$, $\square \in \{*, +, \#, -\}$.

We note that

$$e(n,d) = a_{n+1}(I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.8 that for $C(d, m-1) < n+1 \le C(d,m), \ m \ge 1$, we have

$$e(n,d) = r^{\square}_{m,d},$$

where $r^{\square}_{m,d}$ are given in Definition 2.2. This implies that

$$e(C(d,d)-1, d) = r^{\square}_{d,d}.$$

Choose

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_d = r^{\square}_{d+1,d} < r^{\square}_{d,d}.$$

Then

$$n(\varepsilon_d, d) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid e(n,d) \le \varepsilon_d\} \ge C(d,d) \ge 2^d.$$

If $0 < s \le 1/r$ and $0 < t \le 1$, then we have

$$\lim_{1/\varepsilon_d + d \to \infty} \frac{\ln(n(\varepsilon_d, d))}{(\varepsilon_d)^{-s} + d^t} \ge \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{d}{(r^{\square}_{d+1,d})^{-1/r} + d} \ne 0,$$

which implies that for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ are not (s,t)-weakly tractable if $0 < s \le 1/r$ and $0 < t \le 1$.

Next we show that if $s > 1/r$ and $t > 0$ or $s > 0$ and $t > 1$, then for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ are (s,t)-weakly tractable.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be given and select $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that

$$r^{\square}_{m,d} \le \varepsilon < r^{\square}_{m-1,d}.$$

Since

$$e(n,d) = r^{\square}_{m,d}$$

for $C(d, m-1) < n+1 \le C(d,m)$, we get

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \le n(r^{\square}_{m,d}, d) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid e(n,d) \le r^{\square}_{m,d}\} = C(d, m-1) < C(d,m).$$

For $s > 0$ and $t > 1$, it follows from (4.1) and Lemma 5.1 that

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln n(\varepsilon,d)}{\varepsilon^{-s} + d^t} \le \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{d(1+\ln(\frac{m+d}{d}))}{(r^{\square}_{m-1,d})^{-s} + d^t} \le \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{d(1+\ln(m+d))}{(m-1)^{rs} + d^t} = 0.$$

For $s > 1/r$ and $t > 0$, it follows from (4.1) and Lemma 5.1 that

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln n(\varepsilon,d)}{\varepsilon^{-s} + d^t} \le \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{m(1+\ln(\frac{m+d}{m}))}{(r^{\square}_{m-1,d})^{-s} + d^t} \le \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{m(1+\ln(m+d))}{(m-1)^{rs} + d^t} = 0.$$

Hence, for the absolute error criterion the approximation problems $I_d : H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ are (s,t)-weakly tractable if and only if $s > 1/r$ and $t > 0$ or $s > 0$ and $t > 1$.

(2) It follows from Theorem 4.3 that for $0 \le n \le 2^d$,

$$e(n,d) = a_{n+1}(I_d : H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp d^{-r}.$$

This means that there is a positive constant c depending only on r such that

$$e(2^d, d) \ge c\, e(0,d).$$

Choose $\varepsilon \in (0,c)$. Then for the normalized criterion we have

$$n(\varepsilon, d) = \min\{n \,|\, e(n,d) \le \varepsilon\, e(0,d)\} \ge 2^d.$$

Hence, for the normalized error criterion the approximation problem $I_d : H^{r,-}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ suffers from the curse of dimensionality.

Theorem 5.2 is proved. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then the approximation problem*

$$I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$$

(1) is uniformly weakly tractable.
(2) is not polynomially tractable.

(3) is quasi-polynomially tractable if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$ and the exponent of quasi-polynomial tractability is

$$t^{\mathrm{qpol}} = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{m}{1+\beta m^{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \geq 1.$$

Specially, if $\alpha = 1$, then $t^{\mathrm{qpol}} = \frac{1}{\beta}$.

Proof. (1) For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^{-\beta(m+1)^{\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon < e^{-\beta m^{\alpha}}.$$

Since for $C(d,m) < n+1 \leq C(d,m+1),\ m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$e(n,d) = a_{n+1}(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = e^{-\beta(m+1)^{\alpha}},$$

we get

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \leq n(e^{-\beta(m+1)^{\alpha}}, d) = C(d,m).$$

For any $s,t > 0$, by (4.1) we have

$$\frac{\ln(n(\varepsilon,d))}{\varepsilon^{-s}+d^t} \leq \frac{\ln(C(d,m))}{e^{s\beta m^{\alpha}}+d^t} \leq \frac{m(1+\ln(m+d))}{e^{s\beta m^{\alpha}}+d^t}.$$

We note that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{m^2}{e^{s\beta m^{\alpha}}} = \lim_{x\to+\infty} \frac{x^{2/\alpha}}{e^{s\beta x}} = 0.$$

This implies that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$e^{s\beta m^{\alpha}} \geq cm^2.$$

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(n(\varepsilon,d))}{\varepsilon^{-s}+d^t} \leq \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{m\ln(m+d)}{cm^2+d^t} = 0.$$

Hence, the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is uniformly weakly tractable for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

(2) For any $p, q > 0$, we choose

$$\varepsilon_d = e^{-\beta(m_d+1)^{\alpha}}, \quad m_d = [(\frac{q}{\beta p}\ln d)^{1/\alpha}] - 1,$$

where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Such selection is to make

$$(\varepsilon_d)^{-p} \leq d^q.$$

Since for $C(d,m_d) < n+1 \leq C(d,m_d+1),\ m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$e(n,d) = a_{n+1}(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = \varepsilon_d,$$

we get

$$n(\varepsilon_d, d) = C(d,m_d) \geq (1+\frac{d}{m_d})^{m_d} \geq (\frac{d}{m_d})^{m_d} = e^{m_d(\ln d - \ln m_d)}.$$

Since $\lim\limits_{d\to\infty} m_d = +\infty$ and $\lim\limits_{d\to\infty} \frac{\ln m_d}{\ln d} = 0$, we get for sufficiently large d,

$$\ln d - \ln m_d \geq \frac{1}{2}\ln d \ \text{ and } \ \frac{m_d}{2} \geq 2q+1.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{n(\varepsilon_d,d)}{(\varepsilon_d)^{-p}d^q} \geq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{e^{(2q+1)\ln d}}{d^{2q}} = \lim_{d\to\infty} d = +\infty,$$

which implies that (5.2) does not hold. Hence, the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is not polynomially tractable for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

(3) Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$. We choose ε_d and m_d as above, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_d = e^{-\beta(m_d+1)^\alpha}, \quad m_d = [(\frac{q}{\beta p} \ln d)^{1/\alpha}] - 1.$$

Then for sufficiently large d,

$$n(\varepsilon_d, d) = C(d, m_d) \geq e^{\frac{1}{2} m_d \ln d} = d^{m_d/2}.$$

It follows that for any $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{n(\varepsilon_d, d)}{e^{t(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})}} \geq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{d^{m_d/2}}{e^{4t2^\alpha \beta (m_d)^\alpha \ln d}} = \lim_{d\to\infty} d^{\frac{m_d}{2} - 42^\alpha t\beta (m_d)^\alpha} = +\infty,$$

which means that (5.1) is not valid. Hence, the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is not quasi-polynomially tractable if $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta > 0$. We set

$$t_0 = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{m}{1 + \beta m^\alpha}. \tag{5.3}$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^{-\beta(m+1)^\alpha} \leq \varepsilon < e^{-\beta m^\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \leq n(e^{-\beta(m+1)^\alpha}, d) = C(d, m).$$

We note from (5.3) that

$$\sup_{d\in\mathbb{N}_+,\ \varepsilon\in(0,1)} \frac{n(\varepsilon, d)}{e^{t_0(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})}} \leq \sup_{d\in\mathbb{N}_+,\ m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{C(d, m)}{(ed)^{t_0(1+\beta m^\alpha)}} \leq \sup_{d\in\mathbb{N}_+,\ m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{C(d, m)}{(ed)^m}.$$

From (2.2) we get

$$C(d, 0) = 1,\ C(d, 1) = d + 2,\ C(1, m) = 2m + 1,$$

which yields

$$\sup_{d\in\mathbb{N}_+,\ m=0,1} \frac{C(d, m)}{(ed)^m} \leq 2 \ \text{ and } \ \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N},\ d=1} \frac{C(d, m)}{(ed)^m} \leq 2.$$

If $m, d \geq 2$, then by (4.1) we get

$$\frac{C(d, m)}{(ed)^m} \leq \frac{e^m(1 + d/m)^m}{(ed)^m} = (\frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{m})^m \leq 1.$$

This means that for any $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \leq 2e^{t_0(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon})}.$$

Hence, the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is quasi-polynomially tractable if $\alpha \geq 1$ and the exponent t^{qpol} of quasi-polynomial tractability satisfies

$$t^{\mathrm{qpol}} \leq t_0 = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{m}{1 + \beta m^\alpha}.$$

Let $\alpha = 1$. Then $t_0 = 1/\beta$. For $t < t_0 = 1/\beta$, we choose ε_d and m_d as above. Such m_d satisfies $\lim_{d\to\infty} m_d = +\infty$ and $\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\ln m_d}{\ln d} = 0$. Then $n(\varepsilon_d, d) = C(d, m_d)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{n(\varepsilon_d, d)}{e^{t(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})}} &= \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{C(d, m_d)}{e^{t(1+\ln d)(1+\beta(m_d+1))}} \\ &\geq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{(1+d/m_d)^{m_d}}{(ed)^{t+t\beta(m_d+1)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let γ be such that $\gamma \in (t\beta, 1)$. Then for sufficiently large d, we have

$$\ln d - \ln m_d \geq \gamma \ln d,$$

which means that for sufficiently large d,

$$(1+d/m_d)^{m_d} \geq e^{m_d(\ln d - \ln m_d)} \geq e^{\gamma m_d \ln d} = d^{\gamma m_d}.$$

Using the facts

$$a^b = d^{b\frac{\ln a}{\ln d}}, \quad \gamma - t\beta > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{d\to\infty} m_d = +\infty,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{n(\varepsilon_d, d)}{e^{t(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})}} &\geq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{d^{\gamma m_d}}{(ed)^{t+t\beta(m_d+1)}} \\ &= \lim_{d\to\infty} d^{(\gamma - t\beta - \frac{t\beta}{\ln d})m_d - (t+t\beta)(1+\frac{1}{\ln d})} = +\infty,\end{aligned}$$

which means that (5.1) is not true with $t < t_0 = 1/\beta$. Hence, we have for $\alpha = 1$,

$$t^{\mathrm{qpol}} = t_0 = 1/\beta.$$

Let $\alpha > 1$. Since $\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{m}{1+\beta m^\alpha} = 0$, there exists a positive integer m_0 depending only on $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$t_0 = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{m}{1+\beta m^\alpha} = \frac{m_0}{1+\beta(m_0)^\alpha}.$$

We choose $\varepsilon_d \in (0,1)$ such that

$$e^{-\beta(m_0+1)^\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_d < e^{-\beta(m_0)^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{d\to\infty} \varepsilon_d = e^{-\beta(m_0)^\alpha}.$$

Since for $C(d,m) < n+1 \leq C(d,m+1),\ m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$e(n,d) = a_{n+1}(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)) = e^{-\beta(m+1)^\alpha},$$

we get

$$n(\varepsilon_d, d) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid e(n,d) \leq \varepsilon_d\} = C(d, m_0).$$

We have for any $t < t_0 = \frac{m_0}{1+\beta(m_0)^\alpha}$,

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{n(\varepsilon_d, d)}{e^{t(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})}} = \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{C(d, m_0)}{(ed)^{t(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})}} \geq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{d^{m_0 - t(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})\frac{\ln ed}{\ln d}}}{m_0\,!}.$$

Noting that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \Big(m_0 - t(1+\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})\frac{\ln ed}{\ln d}\Big) = (1+\beta(m_0)^\alpha)(t_0 - t) > 0,$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{n(\varepsilon_d, d)}{e^{t(1+\ln d)(1+\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon_d})}} = +\infty,$$

which means that (5.1) is not true with $t < t_0$. Hence, we have for $\alpha > 1$,

$$t^{\mathrm{qpol}} = t_0.$$

The proof of Theorem 5.3 is complete. □

Remark 5.4. From (4.12) we know that

$$e(n,d) \le C_1(d)e^{-C_2(d)n^{\alpha/d}},$$

where $C_1(d)$, $C_2(d)$ are two constants depending on d but not on n. This means that the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ has exponential convergence (see [16], [6]). So we can consider exponential convergence tractability. Exponential convergence tractability has been considered in many papers (see for example, [16], [6], [15]). For $t, s > 0$, we say the approximation problem is $(t, \ln^s)$-weakly tractable (see [15]), if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon^{-1}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln n(\varepsilon,d)}{(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^s + d^t} = 0.$$

Otherwise, the approximation problem is called $(t, \ln^s)$-intractable. Specially if $s = t = 1$, $(t, \ln^s)$-weak tractability is just exponential convergence-weak tractability. Similarly we can define exponential convergence-uniformly weak tractability.

Let $n^{G^{\alpha,\beta}}(\varepsilon,d)$ and $n^{H^{\alpha,\#}}(\varepsilon,d)$ be the information-complexities of the approximation problems $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ and $I_d : H^{\alpha,\#}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$.

For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^{-\beta(m+1)^\alpha} \le \varepsilon < e^{-\beta m^\alpha}.$$

Then

$$n^{G^{\alpha,\beta}}(\varepsilon,d) = C(d,m).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(n^{G^{\alpha,\beta}}(\varepsilon,d))}{(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^s + d^t} = \lim_{m+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(C(d,m))}{\beta^s m^{s\alpha} + d^t}.$$

Similarly, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$(k+2)^{-\alpha} \le \varepsilon < (k+1)^{-\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$n^{H^{\alpha,\#}}(\varepsilon,d) = C(d,k),$$

and

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(n^{H^{\alpha,\#}}(\varepsilon,d))}{\varepsilon^{-s} + d^t} = \lim_{k+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(C(d,k))}{(k+1)^{s\alpha} + d^t}.$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(n^{G^{\alpha,\beta}}(\varepsilon,d))}{(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})^s + d^t} = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+d\to\infty} \frac{\ln(n^{H^{\alpha,\#}}(\varepsilon,d))}{\varepsilon^{-s} + d^t} = 0,$$

which means that the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is $(t, \ln^s)$-weakly tractable if and only if the approximation problem $I_d : H^{\alpha,\#}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is (s,t)-weakly tractable, and if and only if $\alpha > 1/s$ and $t > 0$ or $s > 0$ and $t > 1$. Hence, the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is $(t, \ln^s)$-weakly tractable if and only if $\alpha > 1/s$ and $t > 0$ or $s > 0$ and $t > 1$. Specially, it is exponential convergence-weakly tractable if and only if $\alpha > 1$.

Using the same reasoning, we can prove that the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is exponential convergence-uniformly weakly tractable if and only if the approximation problem $I_d : H^{\alpha,\#}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is uniformly weakly tractable. However, the approximation problem $I_d : H^{\alpha,\#}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is not uniformly weakly tractable. Hence, the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{S}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is not exponential convergence-uniformly weakly tractable for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

6. Asymtotics, Preasymtotics, and tractability on the ball

6.1. **Sobolev spaces and Gevrey type spaces on the ball.**

Let $\mathbb{B}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \ |x| \le 1\}$ denote the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, where $x \cdot y$ is the usual inner product, and $|x| = (x \cdot x)^{1/2}$ is the usual Euclidean norm. For the weight $W_\mu(x) = (1-|x|^2)^{\mu-1/2}$ $(\mu \ge 0)$, denote by $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d) \equiv L_2(\mathbb{B}^d, W_\mu(x)\,dx)$ the space of measurable functions defined on $\mathbb{B}^d$ with the finite norm

$$\|f|L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)\| := \Big(\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |f(x)|^2 \, W_\mu(x) dx \Big)^{1/2}.$$

When $\mu = 1/2$, $W_\mu(x) \equiv 1$, and $L_{2,1/2}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ recedes to $L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$.

We denote by $\Pi_n^d(\mathbb{B}^d)$ the space of all polynomials in d variables of degree at most n restricted to $\mathbb{B}^d$, and by $\mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d)$ the space of all polynomials of degree n which are orthogonal to polynomials of low degree in $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Note that

$$N(n,d) := \dim \mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d) = \binom{n+d-1}{n}. \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$D(n,d) := \dim \Pi_n^d(\mathbb{B}^d) = \binom{n+d}{n}. \tag{6.2}$$

It is well known (see [4, p. 38 or p. 229] or [3, p. 268]) that the spaces $\mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d)$ are just the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $-n(n+2\mu+d-1)$ of the second-order differential operator

$$D_{\mu,d} := \triangle - (x \cdot \nabla)^2 - (2\mu + d - 1)\, x \cdot \nabla,$$

where the $\triangle$ and ∇ are the Laplace operator and gradient operator respectively. More precisely,

$$D_{\mu,d} P = -n(n+2\mu+d-1)P \text{ for } P \in \mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d).$$

Also, the spaces $\mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d)$ are mutually orthogonal in $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ and

$$L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d), \qquad \Pi_n^d(\mathbb{B}^d) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d).$$

Let

$$\{\phi_{nk} \equiv \phi_{nk}^{d,\mu} \mid k = 1, \dots, N(n,d)\}$$

be a fixed orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d)$ in $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Then

$$\{\phi_{nk} \mid k = 1, \dots, N(n,d),\ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Evidently, any $f \in L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ can be expressed by its Fourier series

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Proj_{n,\mu} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)} \langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_\mu \phi_{nk},$$

where $Proj_{n,\mu}(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)} \langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_\mu \phi_{nk}$ is the orthogonal projection of f from $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ onto $\mathcal{V}_{n,\mu}^d(\mathbb{B}^d)$, and

$$\langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_\mu := \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} f(x) \phi_{nk} W_\mu(x)\, dx$$

are the Fourier coefficients of f. We have the following Parseval equality:

$$\|f \,|\, L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)\| = \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)} |\langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_\mu|^2 \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 6.1. *Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a non-increasing positive sequence with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k = 0$, and let T^Λ be a multiplier operator on $L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ defined by*

$$T^\Lambda(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)} \lambda_n \langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_\mu \phi_{nk}.$$

We define the multiplier space $H_\mu^\Lambda(\mathbb{B}^d)$ by

$$H_\mu^\Lambda(\mathbb{B}^d) = \Big\{ T^\Lambda f \,\Big|\, f \in L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d) \text{ and } \|T^\Lambda f \,|\, H_\mu^\Lambda(\mathbb{B}^d)\| = \|f | L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)\| < \infty \Big\}$$

$$:= \Big\{ f \in L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d) \,\Big|\, \|f \,|\, H_\mu^\Lambda(\mathbb{B}^d)\| := \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)} |\langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_\mu|^2 \Big)^{1/2} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Similar to the case on the sphere, we can define the Sobolev spaces $H_\mu^{r,\square}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $r > 0$, $\square \in \{*, +, \#, -\}$ and the Gevrey type spaces $G_\mu^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ analogously. However, in this section we deal only with the most important and interesting case $\mu = 1/2$, and the corresponding spaces $H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ and $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)$.

We remark that there is no difference for the cases $\mu = 1/2$ and $\mu \neq 1/2$ concerning with results about strong equivalences, asymptotics and preasymptotics, and tractability. We also remark that the corresponding results on $H_\mu^{r,\square}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $\square \in \{+, \#, -\}$ is similar to the ones on $H^{r,\square}(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $\square \in \{+, \#, -\}$. The proofs go through with hardly any change.

Definition 6.2. *Let $r > 0$. The Sobolev space $H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d) \equiv H_{1/2}^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is the collection of all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ such that*

$$\|f \,\big|\, H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\| := \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + (n(n+d))^r} \sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)} |\langle \phi_{nk}, f \rangle_{1/2}|^2 \Big)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

If we set $\tilde{\Lambda}^* = \{\tilde{r}_{k,d}^*\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\tilde{r}_{k,d}^* = (1 + (k(k+d))^r)^{-1/2}$, then the Sobolev space $H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is just the multiplier space $H_{1/2}^{\tilde{\Lambda}^*}(\mathbb{B}^d)$.

Remark 6.3. Given $r>0$, we define the fractional power $(-D_{1/2,d})^{r/2}$ of the operator $-D_{1/2,d}$ on f by

$$(-D_{1/2,d})^{r/2}(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k(k+d))^{r/2}Proj_{k,1/2}(f)$$

in the sense of distribution. Then for $f\in H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, we have

$$\|f\,|\,H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\|=\Big(\|f\,|\,L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)\|^2+\|(-D_{1/2,d})^{r/2}(f)\,|\,L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)\|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 6.4. *Let $\alpha,\beta>0$. The Gevrey type space $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)\equiv G^{\alpha,\beta}_{1/2}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is the collection of all $f\in L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ such that*

$$\|f\,|\,G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)\|:=\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}e^{2\beta n^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=1}^{N(n,d)}|\langle\phi_{nk},f\rangle_{1/2}|^2\Big)^{1/2}<\infty.$$

If we set $\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}=\{e^{-\beta k^{\alpha}}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, then the Gevrey type space $G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is just the multiplier space $H^{\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}_{1/2}(\mathbb{B}^d)$.

6.2. **Strong equivalences on the ball.**

Let $\Lambda=\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a non-increasing positive sequence with $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\lambda_k=0$. We note from Definition 6.1 that the mutiplier space $H^{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ $(\mu\geq 0)$ is also of form $H^{\tau}=(L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d))^{\tau}$ with

$$\{\tau_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}=\{\lambda_{0,d},\underbrace{\lambda_{1,d},\cdots,\lambda_{1,d}}_{N(1,d)},\underbrace{\lambda_{2,d},\cdots,\lambda_{2,d}}_{N(2,d)},\cdots,\underbrace{\lambda_{k,d},\cdots,\lambda_{k,d}}_{N(k,d)},\cdots\},$$

where $N(m,d)$ and $D(m,d)$ are given in (6.1) and (6.2). According to Lemma 2.7 we obtain

Theorem 6.5. *For $D(k-1,d)<n\leq D(k,d),\ k=0,1,2,\ldots,$ we have*

$$a_n(T^{\Lambda}:L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d))=a_n(I_d:H^{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d))=\lambda_{k,d},\quad \mu\geq 0.$$

where we set $D(-1,d)=0$.

Specially, let $r>0$ and $\alpha,\beta>0$. Then for $D(k-1,d)<n\leq D(k,d),\ k=0,1,2,\ldots,$ we have

$$a_n(I_d:H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))=(1+(k(k+d))^r)^{-1/2},\tag{6.3}$$

and

$$a_n(I_d:G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))=e^{-\beta k^{\alpha}}.\tag{6.4}$$

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\lambda_{k,d}k^s=1$ for some $s>0$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{s/d}a_n(I_d:H^{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d))=\Big(\frac{1}{d!}\Big)^{s/d},\ \mu\geq 0.\tag{6.5}$$

Specially, we have for $r>0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}n^{r/d}a_n(I_d:H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))=\Big(\frac{1}{d!}\Big)^{r/d}\tag{6.6}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of (3.1). For $D(k-1,d) < n \le D(k,d),\ k = 0,1,2,\dots$, we have

$$a_n(I_d : H^\Lambda_\mu(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)) = \lambda_{k,d},\ \mu \ge 0,$$

where $D(k,d) = \binom{k+d}{k}$. It follows that

$$(D(k-1,d))^{s/d}\lambda_{k,d} \le n^{s/d} a_n(I_d : W^\Lambda_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_{2,\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d)) \le (D(k,d))^{s/d}\lambda_{k,d}.$$

Using the argument of (3.1) and noting that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} D(k,d)k^{-d} = \frac{1}{d!}$, we get (6.5). Theorem 6.6 is proved. □

Remark 6.7. One can rephrase (6.6) as a strong equivalences

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) \sim n^{-r/d}\Big(\frac{1}{d!}\Big)^{r/d}$$

for $r > 0$. The novelty of Theorems 6.6 is that they give a strong equivalence of $a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))$ and provide asymptotically optimal constants, for arbitrary fixed d and $r > 0$.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta > 0$, and $\tilde\gamma = \Big(\frac{1}{d!}\Big)^{-\alpha/d}$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{\beta\tilde\gamma n^{\alpha/d}} a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) = 1. \tag{6.7}$$

Proof. It follows from (6.4) that for $D(k-1,d) < n \le D(k,d),\ k = 0,1,2,\dots$,

$$a_n \equiv a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) = e^{-\beta k^\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$e^{\beta\tilde\gamma(D(k-1,d))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha} < e^{\beta\tilde\gamma n^{\alpha/d}} a_n \le e^{\beta\tilde\gamma(D(k,d))^{\alpha/d}} e^{-\beta k^\alpha}.$$

Since for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (\tilde\gamma(D(k,d))^{\alpha/d} - k^\alpha) = \lim_{k\to\infty} k^\alpha \Big(\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{d}(1+\frac{j}{k})\Big)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - 1\Big) = 0,$$

similar to the proof of (3.3), we get (6.7). Theorem 6.8 is proved. □

Remark 6.9. One can rephrase (6.7) as a strong equivalence

$$a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) \sim e^{-\beta\tilde\gamma n^{\alpha/d}}$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$, where $\tilde\gamma = \Big(\frac{1}{d!}\Big)^{-\alpha/d}$. The novelty of Theorems 6.8 is that they give a strong equivalence of $a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))$ and provide asymptotically optimal constants, for arbitrary fixed d, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\beta > 0$.

6.3. **Preasymptotics and asymptotics on the ball.**

Let $r > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then for $D(m-1,d) < n \le D(m,d),\ m = 0,1,2,\dots$, we have

$$a_n(I_d : H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) = (1 + (m(m+d))^r)^{-1/2},$$

and

$$a_n(I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)) = e^{-\beta m^\alpha},$$

where $D(-1,d)=0$ and $D(m,d)=\binom{d+m}{d}$. We note that

$$\max\Big\{\big(1+\frac{m}{d}\big)^d,\ \big(1+\frac{d}{m}\big)^m\Big\}\le D(m,d)\le \min\Big\{e^d\big(1+\frac{m}{d}\big)^d,\ e^m\big(1+\frac{d}{m}\big)^m\Big\}.$$

Using the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we obtain that for $D(m-1,d)<n\le D(m,d),\ 1\le m\le d$,

$$m\asymp \frac{\log n}{1+\log\big(\frac{d}{\log n}\big)},$$

and for $D(m-1,d)<n\le D(m,d),\ m>d$,

$$m\asymp dn^{1/d}.$$

By the above two equivalences we can obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem 6.10. *Let $r>0$. We have*

$$a_n(I_d: H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))\asymp \begin{cases} 1, & n=1,\\ d^{-r/2}, & 2\le n\le d,\\ d^{-r/2}\Big(\frac{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}{\log n}\Big)^{r/2}, & d\le n\le 2^d,\\ d^{-r}n^{-r/d}, & n\ge 2^d,\end{cases} \tag{6.8}$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on r, but not on d and n.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $\alpha,\beta>0$. We have*

$$\ln\big(a_n(I_d: G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d))\big)\asymp -\beta\begin{cases} 1, & 1\le n\le d,\\ \Big(\frac{\log n}{\log(1+\frac{d}{\log n})}\Big)^{\alpha}, & d\le n\le 2^d,\\ d^{\alpha}n^{\alpha/d}, & n\ge 2^d,\end{cases} \tag{6.9}$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on α, but not on d and n.

6.4. **Tractability on the ball.**

Using the same methods as in Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, we obtain the two theorems.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $r>0$ and $s,\ t>0$. Then the approximation problem*

$$I_d: H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$$

is (s,t)-weakly tractable if and only if $s>1/r$ and $t>0$ or $s>0$ and $t>1$. Specially, the approximation problem $I_d: H^{r,*}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is weakly tractable if and only if $r>1$, not uniformly weakly tractable, and does not suffer from the curse of dimensionality.

Theorem 6.13. *Let $\alpha,\beta>0$. Then the approximation problem*

$$I_d: G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d)\to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$$

(1) is uniformly weakly tractable.

(2) is not polynomially tractable.

(3) is quasi-polynomially tractable if and only if $\alpha\ge 1$ and the exponent of quasi-polynomial tractability is

$$t^{\mathrm{qpol}}=\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{m}{1+\beta m^{\alpha}},\quad \alpha\ge 1.$$

Specially, if $\alpha=1$, then $t^{\mathrm{qpol}}=\frac{1}{\beta}$.

Remark 6.14. We can also consider exponential convergence tractability for the approximation problem

$$I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d) \quad (\alpha, \beta > 0).$$

We can prove that the approximation problem $I_d : G^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{B}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is $(t, \ln^s)$-weakly tractable if and only if $\alpha > 1/s$ and $t > 0$ or $s > 0$ and $t > 1$, and is not exponential convergence-uniformly weakly tractable for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Specially, it is exponential convergence-weakly tractable if and only if $\alpha > 1$.

Acknowledgments

The authors were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project no. 11671271, 11271263), the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (1172004, 1132001).

References

[1] K. Atkinson, W. Han, Spherical harmonics and approximations on the unit sphere: an introduction, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2044, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
[2] J. Chen, H. Wang, Preasymptotics and asymptotics of approximation numbers of anisotropic Sobolev embeddings, To appear in J. Complexity.
[3] F. Dai. Y. Xu. Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Sphere and Balls, Springer, New York, 2013.
[4] C. F. Dunkl, Yuan Xu, Orthogonal polynormials of several variables, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001. Josef Dick, Peter Kritzer,
[5] M. Gevrey, Sur la nature analytique des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles. premier mémoire. In Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, vol. 35, 129-190, Société mathématique de France, 1918.
[6] C. Irrgeher, P. Kritzer, F. Pillichshammer, H. Wońiakowski, Approximation in Hermite spaces of smooth functions, J. Approx. Theory 207 (2016) 98-126.
[7] A.I. Kamzolov, On the Kolmogorov diameters of classes of smooth functions on a sphere, Russian Math. Surveys 44 (5) (1989) 196-197.
[8] H. König. Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
[9] T. Kühn, S. Mayer, T. Ullrich, Counting via entropy: new preasymptotics for the approximation numbers of Sobolev embeddings, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 54 (6) (2016) 3625-3647.
[10] T. Kühn, W. Sickel, T. Ullrich, Approximation numbers of Sobolev embeddings-Sharp constants and tractability, J. Complexity 30 (2014) 95-116.
[11] T. Kühn, W. Sickel, T. Ullrich, Approximation of mixed order Sobolev functions on the d-torus: asymptotics,preasymptotics, and d-dependence, Constr. Approx. 42(3) (2015) 353-398.
[12] E. Novak. H. Woźniakowski, Tractablity of Multivariate Problems. Volume I: Liner Information, EMS, Zürich, 2008.
[13] E. Novak. H. Woźniakowski, Tractablity of Multivariate Problems. Volume II: Standard Information for Functionals, EMS, Zürich, 2010.
[14] E. Novak. H. Woźniakowski, Tractablity of Multivariate Problems. Volume III: Standard Information for Operators, EMS, Zürich, 2012.
[15] A. Papageorgiou, I. Petras, H. Woźniakowski, $(s, \ln^\kappa)$-weak tractability of linear problems, to appear in J. Complexity.
[16] F. Pillichshammer, H. Woźniakowski, Approximation of analytic functions in Korobov spaces, J. Complexity, 30(2) (2014) 2-28.
[17] A. Pinkus, n-Widths in Approximation Theory, in: Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 3.7, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
[18] L. Rodino, Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces, World Scientific, 1993.
[19] P. Siedlecki, Uniform weak tractability, J. Complexity 29(6) (2013) 438-453.
[20] P. Siedlecki, M. Weimar, Notes on (s, t)-weak tractability: a refined classification of problems with (sub)exponential information complexity, J. Approx. Theory 200 (2015) 227-258.
[21] V.N. Temlyakov, Approximation of Periodic Functions, Nova Science, New York, 1993.

[22] H. Wang, H. Huang, Widths of weighted Sobolev classes on the ball, J. Approx. Theory 154 (2) (2008) 126-139.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China.
E-mail address: jiachencd@163.com; wanghp@cnu.edu.cn.